

Subject

Additional information for "Final 2013 Vessel General Permit issued by US Environmental Protection agency"

ClassNK

Technical Information

No. TEC-0981

Date 29 January 2014

To whom it may concern

2013 Vessel General Permit (herein after, 2013VGP) for discharges of pollutants incidental to their normal operation of vessels has been enforced by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (herein after, EPA) since December 19, 2013.

It was notified that "ballast water numeric discharge limitations and implementation schedule of 2013VGP are aligned with that of USCG rules (refer to Technical Information TEC-0968)" and "USCG published the Policy letter regarding the provision to grant a method to make request of extension to the implementation schedule for ballast water management discharge standards for vessels using USCG approved ballast water management systems (refer to Technical Information TEC-0971)".

At this time, Enforcement Response Policy was published as attached, which shows that where USCG has granted an extension to the implementation schedule for ballast water management discharge standards for vessels using USCG approved ballast water management systems as provided in 33CFR151.1513 and 151.2036, that information will be considered by EPA.

Highlight of the Enforcement Response Policy is as following:

- An extension granted by USCG to the implementation schedule for ballast water management system will be considered by EPA, and in that case, EPA will take into account conditions expressed in the USCG's extension letter (i.e. the vessel conducts complete ballast water exchange in an area 200 nautical miles from any shore prior to discharging ballast water in the waters of United States, adhere to the Coast Guard's ballast water management plan as well as to recordkeeping and reporting provisions).

And, additional information obtained by correspondence with EPA regarding application of 2013VGP is as following:

- When a vessel discharges ballast water, bilgewater or graywater etc. at any time within 3 nm from shore, then they would need to comply with the applicable requirements in the 2013VGP, that is, when a vessel does not discharge ballast water, bilgewater or graywater etc. at any time while operating within 3 nm from shore, then the requirements do not apply, including the monitoring requirements for new build vessels in section 2.2.2.1 and 2.2.15.2 and the ballast water requirements in 2.2.3.5.1 of 2013 VGP

(To be continued)

NOTES:

- ClassNK Technical Information is provided only for the purpose of supplying current information to its readers.
- ClassNK, its officers, employees and agents or sub-contractors do not warrant the accuracy of the information contained herein and are not liable for any loss, damage or expense sustained whatsoever by any person caused by use of or reliance on this information.
- Back numbers are available on ClassNK Internet Homepage (URL: www.classnk.or.jp).

For any questions about the above, please contact:

NIPPON KAIJI KYOKAI (ClassNK)

Machinery Department, Administration Center Annex, Head Office

Address: 3-3 Kioi-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 102-0094, Japan

Tel.: +81-3-5226-2022 / 2023

Fax: +81-3-5226-2024

E-mail: mcd@classnk.or.jp

Attachment:

1. Enforcement Response Policy for EPA's 2013 Vessel General Permit: Ballast Water Discharges and U.S. Coast Guard Extensions under 33 C.F.R. Part 151



December 24, 2013

Dear Vessel Owner/Operator:

Thank you for your letter to the United States Coast Guard (USCG) in which you requested an extension to the USCG implementation schedule for Ballast Water Management Discharge Standards for vessels required to use Coast Guard approved ballast water management systems. The USCG has shared with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) your extension request to facilitate a coordinated response. The USCG and the EPA share the important goal of protecting the nation's waters. We both regulate the discharge of oil, hazardous substances, and non-indigenous invasive species into the maritime environment and are working together to ensure that the Agencies are as consistent as possible under their respective statutory authorities. Our coordinated approach has been essential to our success in reducing the further introduction of non-indigenous invasive species into the nation's waters.

In response to your letter and to assist your understanding of our collaborative approach, we provide you with both the USCG's letter approving your extension request and the EPA's Enforcement Response Policy. This coordinated response represents a unified approach to addressing the ballast water management issues you raised in your extension request to the USCG. As you may be aware, the USCG and the EPA directly partner on a variety of ballast water initiatives, including the development of the EPA ETV protocols, upon which the USCG type approval requirements depend. We both strongly support the use of USCG type approved technology and are working together to ensure the availability of such systems for the earliest implementation of ballast water management system compliance dates.

Sincerely,

A blue ink signature of Joseph A. Servidio, consisting of stylized initials and a surname.

Joseph A. Servidio, RDML
Assistant Commandant for Prevention Policy
U. S. Coast Guard

A blue ink signature of Michael H. Shapiro, consisting of a stylized first name and surname.

Michael H. Shapiro,
Principal Deputy Assistant Administrator
Office of Water
U. S. Environmental Protection Agency



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

DEC 27 2013

ASSISTANT ADMINISTRATOR
FOR ENFORCEMENT AND
COMPLIANCE ASSURANCE

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Enforcement Response Policy for EPA's 2013 Vessel General Permit: Ballast Water Discharges and U.S. Coast Guard Extensions under 33 C.F.R. Part 151

FROM: Cynthia Giles
Assistant Administrator *Cynthia Giles*

TO: Regional Vessel General Permit Enforcement and Program Directors

Section 2.2.3.5 of EPA's 2013 Vessel General Permit ("2013 VGP")¹ specifies certain numeric ballast water discharge limits for vessels covered by the 2013 VGP. The discharge of ballast water is also subject to U.S. Coast Guard regulations² under the National Aquatic Nuisance Prevention and Control Act / National Invasive Species Act. Unlike the 2013 VGP, Coast Guard regulations specify certain technologies be applied on vessels for treatment of ballast water prior to discharge. As part of the regular coordination between EPA and the Coast Guard as co-regulators of ballast water discharges, the provisions of the 2013 VGP and Coast Guard requirements for ballast water were intended to work in tandem.

However, Coast Guard type approved ballast water management systems pursuant to 33 C.F.R § 151 subparts C and D are not yet available and consequently, pursuant to 33 C.F.R. § 151.2036, the Coast Guard has indicated that, on a case-by-case basis, it may determine "that despite all efforts to meet the ballast water discharge standard requirements," it is necessary to issue a temporary extension of the schedule to implement the required technology on a particular vessel. In addition, Section 1.9.1 of EPA's 2013 VGP contemplated the possibility that such extensions might be granted: "[W]here the U.S. Coast Guard has granted . . . an extension request pursuant to 33 CFR 151.2036, that information will be considered by EPA."

Accordingly, this memorandum articulates how EPA will consider the grant of an extension by the Coast Guard when a vessel has not complied with the numeric ballast water

¹ *Vessel General Permit for Discharges Incidental to the Normal Operation of Vessels* (effective date December 19, 2013), available at http://www.epa.gov/npdes/pubs/vgp_permit2013.pdf.

² See 33 C.F.R. Part 151.

discharge limits in the 2013 VGP. Specifically, this enforcement response policy applies *only* to those situations when:

- a vessel has applied for and received an extension from the Coast Guard pursuant to 33 C.F.R. §151.2036 related to ballast water discharges and the vessel is in compliance with all requirements of the extension;
- the vessel is not in compliance with its ballast water numeric discharge limit under the 2013 VGP; and
- the vessel is otherwise in compliance with all other provisions of the 2013 VGP, including submission of a valid Notice of Intent.

In these circumstances, EPA enforcement personnel should take into account conditions expressed in the Coast Guard's extension letter such as whether the vessel conducts complete ballast water exchange in an area 200 nautical miles from any shore prior to discharging ballast water into the waters of the United States, adheres to the Coast Guard's ballast water management plan as well as to recordkeeping and reporting provisions, and complies with all other applicable ballast water requirements under relevant Coast Guard regulations and the VGP. When a vessel has adequately undertaken these measures (as well as any other reasonably available or appropriate measures under the circumstances to minimize the extent or the effects of the VGP ballast water numeric discharge exceedance), EPA will consider such violations of the 2013 VGP ballast water numeric discharge limit a low enforcement priority.

This enforcement response policy does not apply to grossly excessive ballast water discharges or those that may present an imminent and substantial endangerment, criminal violations of the Clean Water Act, or (if applicable) violations of judicial orders or administrative orders. Nevertheless, prior to initiating an enforcement action for an exceedance of a VGP ballast water numeric discharge limit where the Coast Guard has issued an extension, EPA regional enforcement personnel should first consult with the Water Enforcement Division in the Office of Civil Enforcement, for a joint determination of whether, in light of all the relevant facts and circumstances, to proceed with the action.

Finally, it should be understood that this enforcement response policy is intended solely for the guidance of EPA enforcement personnel, and is not intended to and cannot be relied on to create any rights, substantive or procedural, enforceable by any party against EPA or the United States. EPA also reserves the right to act at variance from this policy in particular instances, and to change it at any time.

If you have any questions about this policy, please contact Mark Pollins, Director of the Water Enforcement Division at (202) 564-4001.

cc: Enforcement Directors
Regional Counsels
NPDES Managers
Nancy Stoner, OW
Ken Kopocis, OW
Steve Neugeboren, OGC